# Summary Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic: | SMETS1 Consultation – Various 1 |
| Due Date: | 1600 on 12 November 2021 |
| DCC Contact | consultations@smartdcc.co.uk  |
| Respondent: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Name: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Email: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Telephone Number: | [Respondent to add] |

# Responses to the consultation questions on SMETS1 Consultation – Various 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reference | Question | Response |
| Various 1Q1 | Do you have any comments on the proposed amendments to the process for exclusions and the associated amendments to Clauses 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.9, 3.15 (g), 18.1, 18.2, and 18.3 of the TMAD and the consequential change to the SMETS1 SVTAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q2 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to exclude solely Dormant SMETS1 Installations from the scope of Migration where DCC has taken all reasonable steps to obtain the Master Key for the CHF as captured by Clause 18.4 of the TMAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q3 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to exclude SMETS1 Installations (for IOC only) from the scope of Migration where the steps in Clause 4.26 (to upgrade and/or reconfigure Dormant Meters and associated Devices) have failed at least three times due to no WAN as captured by Clause 18.7 of the TMAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q4 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to exclude SMETS1 Installations from the scope of Migration where Migration has failed at least three times in separate weeks due to no WAN as captured by Clause 18.8 of the TMAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q5 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to exclude SMETS1 Installations from the scope of Migration where migration has failed at least five times in separate weeks as captured by Clause 18.9 of the TMAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q6 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal that it is uneconomic to undertake the DMCT Process for the DMCs specified in Attachment 2 (of the consultation) consistent with Clause 20.7 of the SMETS1 SVTAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q7 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to exclude SMETS1 Installations where it is decided by the Secretary of State that it is uneconomic to undertake the DMCT Process, subject to the Secretary of State not directing otherwise, as captured by Clause 18.5 of the TMAD? Do you have any detailed comments on the relevant changes to the legal drafting? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q8 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to amend the TMAD to migrate SMETS1 Installations excluding the GSME in the circumstances described, and the associated instruction for DCC to exclude the GSME (where this is required) to be provided from the Supplier via SharePoint, together with the associated drafting in Clauses 5.8B,5.8C, 5.8D, 5.8E, and 18.6 of the TMAD? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q9 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal not to pursue an amendment the definition of SMETS1 PPMID where GroupID = ‘EA’ or ‘EB’ as previously described in the Unblocking 1 Conclusion. Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q10 | Do you agree with DCC’s proposal to amend the TMAD expiry date to be 31 December 2022? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q11 | Do you agree with the proposed re-designation date of 7 December 2021 for updates to the TMAD and SMETS1 SVTAD related to maximising migrations? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| Various 1Q12 | Do you agree with the proposed re-designation date of 7 December 2021 for updates to the TMAD related to changing the expiry date for the TMAD? | [please add your response hereNB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |