# Summary Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Topic: | PPMID consultation |
| Due Date: | 20 August 2021 |
| DCC Contact | [consultations@smartdcc.co.uk](mailto:consultations@smartdcc.co.uk) |
| Respondent: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Name: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Email: | [Respondent to add] |
| Contact Telephone Number: | [Respondent to add] |

# Responses to the consultation questions on PPMIDs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Numb | Question | Response |
| PPMIDs Q1 | Do you have any comments on the tactical solution proposed by DCC to enable the migration of bilingual PPMIDs? Please could you provide detailed comments on the impact to you. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q2 | Do you consider that an enduring solution should be developed and further consulted upon by the DCC under BEIS transitional governance to enable operation of bilingual PPMIDs on the DCC System? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q3 | Do you consider that should a Party wish to pursue an enduring solution, it should be raised by them as a Modification Proposal under Section D of the SEC? Please provide details of your preferred outcome? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q4 | If an enduring solution is developed, do you think it should be done using BEIS transitional governance or a modification proposal under Section D of the SEC and how do you think an enduring approach would be best implemented? Please provide a rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q5 | Do you have any comments on the proposed SEC changes? Please provide rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q6 | Do you have any comments on the proposed changes to the S1SR? Please provide rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q7 | Should DCC progress a change to the Secure S1SP to support the upgrade of firmware to third party PPMIDs? If so, would you like it to form part of the November 2022 Release or be done in a shorter timeframe with an increased cost due to testing? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q8 | Do you have any comments on the proposed changes to the S1SR? Please provide rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q9 | Would you like DCC to progress these changes. If so, would you like it to form part of the November 2022 Release or be done in a shorter timeframe with an increased cost due to testing? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q10 | Do you have any comments on the proposed changes to the S1SR? Please provide rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q11 | Do you have any comments on the proposed changes to the S1SR? Please provide rationale for your views. | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |
| PPMIDs Q12 | Do you agree with the proposed re-designation date of 10 September 2021 (or, if necessary, as soon as reasonably practicable within one month thereafter) for the updates to the S1SR CPL Requirements Document and SEC Section A changes using draft notification at Attachment 1? | [please add your response here  NB the table will resize automatically based on the text added] |