



Key:

Guidance, cell completed by RFC Initiator
Guidance, cell completed by Design and Delivery Admin & Secretariat (A&S) Teams
Guidance, cell completed by other SMIP parties

Section 1: Cover Sheet

1.1 RFC Title	Proposed changes to the SEC Appendix AM – SMETS1 Supporting Requirements (S1SR) to accommodate additional device specific behaviours and configurations specific to the MOC Secure Meters cohort.		
1.2 RFC Reference ID	TBDG CR - TBC		
1.3 Related RFC Reference IDs	N/A		
1.4 RFC Initiator	1.4.1. SMIP party raising request	DCC	
	1.4.2. Change Co-ordinator	Mark Pitchford	
	1.4.3 Contact Email Address	Mark.Pitchford@smartdcc.co.uk	
1.5 Products Impacted by RFC	Consultation to introduce additional changes to the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SEC Appendix AM - SMETS1 Supporting Requirements (S1SR) 		
1.6 Parties Impacted by RFC	SEC Parties, DCC, Energy Suppliers, Network Operators, Other Service Users, and Registered Supplier Agents		
1.7 High Level Indicative Impact Assessment	Initial Assessment by RFC Requestor	Validated Assessment by A&S Teams	
	1.7.1 Impact Level	Low	
	1.7.2 Priority Level	High	

RFC Process Tracker (completed by Design and Delivery Admin & Secretariat)		
RFC Process Step	Date Step Started	Date Step Finished
Step 1 – Initiation		
Step 2 - Validation		
Step 3 – Consultation		
Step 4 – Decision Making		
Step 5 - Implementation		



Section 2: Detail of Proposed Change

2.1 RFC Summary

DCC has identified a number of changes that are required to describe the behaviour specific to the devices that form the MOC Secure cohort. As with previous Device Specific Behaviours these will be described in Section 18 of the SMETS1 Supporting Requirements (S1SR) - Appendix AM - subsidiary document of the SEC.

There has been a minor change to the definition of “Category 1” devices to ensure alignment with TMAD and the EPCL.

In addition, there are some new pre-migration configuration requirements that apply specifically to the MOC Secure meters. These have been laid out in a new Table 15 in Annex B. There is also an additional clause 13.2 that requires that Responsible Suppliers implement these configurations in the same way that the rest of Section 13 applies for all devices.

An updated version of the Device Model Variations to Equivalent Steps (DMVES) has been provided along with the updated S1SR text. The purpose of DMVES is to identify which device models the clauses in Section 18 apply to.

This change request invites views from stakeholders on the proposed amendments to the S1SR. In particular, we are inviting responses to the following consultation question:

1. Do you agree with the proposed amendments to the SMETS1 Supporting Requirements Document (S1SR) in Section 18 of that document, that have been added to describe the device behaviours specific to the MOC Secure devices?
2. Do you agree with the additional pre-migration configuration requirements provided in Table 15 of the S1SR?
3. Do you agree with mappings of clauses in Section 18 of S1SR to the relevant Device Models in DMVES?
4. Do you agree with the proposed re-designation date of 26 June 2020?

The consultation will run from 9th April 2020 to 1st May 2020, with responses due by 17:00 on 1st May 2020. Please send responses to consultations@smartdcc.co.uk.

2.2 Essential Background

In the initial stages of the smart meter roll-out across Great Britain, a number of energy suppliers are installing first generation smart devices (known as SMETS1 devices), in consumers’ premises. SMETS1 devices installed by one energy supplier, however, are not always interoperable with and supported by the systems used by another supplier. The Data Communications Company (DCC) has developed a plan and designed a solution for the incorporation of such devices into its national network. It provides important shared benefits for industry and consumers and intends to offer the ability for SMETS1 consumers to maintain their smart services following a decision to switch suppliers.

The DCC solution relies on a common DCC User interface, defined in the DCC User Interface Specification (DUIS) for users of both SMETS1 and SMETS2 devices. SMETS1 specific processing or operating requirements are defined in the SMETS1 Supporting Requirements (S1SR) document – Appendix AM of the SEC.

The latest version of the SMETS1 Supporting Requirements came into effect on 13th December 2019.



	<p>This RFC covers further proposed changes to the designated version of S1SR.</p> <p>The amendments that are being proposed to the designated version of S1SR are to accommodate further device specific behaviours that have been identified in respect of the Middle Operating Capability – Secure, and to define the pre-migration configuration requirements specific to the devices that will be capable of being enrolled into DCC as a consequence of that capability release..</p>
<p>2.3 Options Summary</p>	<p>We are consulting for a three-week period from 8 April 2020 and are seeking views by 1 May 2020.</p> <p>Following the closure of this consultation, DCC will take into account respondents' views, and, subject to the consultation responses received, submit to the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) an amended version of the S1SR that it considers suitable for re-designation into the SEC by the Secretary of State.</p> <p>DCC will conclude on this consultation, providing a report to BEIS no later than 15 May 2020. DCC has discussed the re-designation of the S1SR with BEIS and it is proposed that, subject to timely receipt of DCC's report, copies of relevant stakeholder responses to this consultation, BEIS will re-designate the S1SR.</p> <p>In order to expedite the re-designation of the TMAD, DCC is also seeking views on behalf of BEIS on the proposed date for re-designation of the TMAD being 26 June 2020 (or, if necessary, as soon as reasonably practicable within one month thereafter) as well as the draft direction which is presented below for stakeholder consideration.</p> <p><i>Q Do you agree with the proposed re-designation date of 26 June 2020 (or, if necessary, as soon as reasonably practicable within one month thereafter) for the S1SR using the draft direction below?</i></p> <p><u>S1SR Draft Direction Text</u></p> <p>This direction is made for the purposes of the smart meter communication licences granted under the Electricity Act 1989 and the Gas Act 1986 (such licences being the "DCC Licence") and the Smart Energy Code designated by the Secretary of State pursuant to the DCC Licence (such code being the "SEC").</p> <p>Words and expressions used in this direction shall be interpreted in accordance with Section A (Definitions and Interpretation) of the SEC.</p> <p>Pursuant to Condition 22 of the DCC Licence and Section X5 (Incorporation of Certain Documents into this Code) of the SEC, the Secretary of State directs that, with effect from [DD MMM YYYY], the SMETS1 Supporting Requirements previously designated and incorporated into the SEC as Appendix AM is hereby re-designated and incorporated in the form set out in Annex [XX] to this direction.</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt such re-designation of the SMETS1 Supporting Requirements shall be without prejudice to anything done under the DCC Licence or the SEC on or after this document first being designated, or to the continuing effectiveness of anything done this document prior to its re-designation (which shall have effect as if done under the re-designated document).</p> <p>This direction is also being notified to the SEC Administrator.</p>
<p>2.4 Recommended Option</p>	<p>TBC</p>

SMIP Transition Change Control Process

Request for Change (RFC) Template



2.5 Summary Impact Assessment of Recommended Option	TBC
2.6 Summary Impact Assessment of not adopting change	TBC
2.7 Other Recommended Next Steps (Optional)	TBC



Section 3: Individual Impact Assessments by other SMIP Parties (if required)

3.1 SMIP Party carrying out Impact Assessment	
3.2 Summary Impact Assessment	



Section 4: Summary of Impact Assessments

4.1 List of SMIP Parties who completed Impact Assessments	
4.2 Summary Impact Assessment	
4.3 Initial Recommendation (Optional)	



Section 5: Decision Making

5.1 Record of IMF/TBDG/TSEG/TPMAG/Regulatory Group Review	
5.1.1 Further Analysis Required	
5.1.2 Consensus Reached	
5.1.3 Record of BEIS (Chairperson) decision	
5.1.4 Record of escalation choice made – route and date	
5.2 Record of SMDG Review (if required)	
5.2.1 Consensus Reached	
5.2.2 Record of BEIS (Chairperson) decision	
5.2.3 Record of escalation choice made – route and date	
5.3 Record of SMSG Review (if required)	
5.3.1 Consensus Reached	
5.3.2 Record of BEIS (Chairperson) decision	
5.3.3 Record of escalation date	
5.4 Record of BEIS Decision (if required)	
5.4.1 Record of decision made	

Section 6: Implementation

Implementation Actions	
-----------------------------------	--